

MINUTES OF THE TENDER PURCHASE COMMITTEE

Subject: **PURCHASE OF MOLDED FIBER GLASS CANOPIES FOR HILUX 4x2 SINGLE CABIN PICK UPS – TENDER NO.05/2021-22.**

The Tender Notice No.05/2021-22 for the purchase of Molded Fiberglass Canopies for (15) Toyota Hilux vehicles 4x2 single US 2399cc etc., was published in the national press as well as on PPRA and FBR websites on 16th February, 2022. Tender Purchase Committee for subject procurement is comprising of the following officers:

- | | | |
|------|---|----------|
| i. | Dr. Nasir Khan,
Chief (Admn & Finance) | Chairman |
| ii. | Mr. Mohsin Ihsan,
Secretary (Admn) | Member |
| iii. | Syed Murad Ali Shah
Second Secretary (Store/Trans) | Member |

2. Following three (03) firms have submitted their bids on 10th March, 2022 which were opened at same date at 10:30 a.m. in the presence of available bidders before Tender Purchase Committee at FBR(Hq):-

3. Name of the firms are as under:-


- i. M/s Crescent Engineering, Peshawar.
- ii. M/s Razmak Industries, Peshawar.
- iii. M/s Pakistan Vehicle Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad.


4. After opening the Tenders, Tender Purchase Committee scrutinized the bidding documents of the above mentioned firms in the light of terms and conditions and check list of the bidding documents. As per bidding documents, M/s Razmak Industries, Peshawar is the only firm who fulfilled codal formalities. Rest of the firms namely M/s Crescent Engineering, Peshawar and M/s Pakistan Vehicle Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad didn't submit the required documents due to which they have been declared as non-responsive and non-qualified bidders. Detail of deficiencies on the basis of which the firms have been declared as non-responsive and non-qualified are as under:-

S#	Names of Bidding firms	Per Unit Cost offered by the Bidder (Inclusive of all taxes)	Ranking in terms of cost	Reason for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1.	M/s Crescent Engineering, Peshawar.	Rs.384,000.00	Lowest	The firm was requested on 30.03.2022 to furnish their complete documents (Annex-I) which are mandatory for finalization of procurement process but the firm has failed to produce the same documents till date. Therefore, the firm is declared as non-responsive and non-qualified bidder. They presented demo at belated stage i.e. on 13.04.2022 whereas they were requested to furnish the same on 06.04.2022 (Annex-II), and the same was found un-satisfactory by the Tender Purchase Committee.	Rejected
2.	M/s Razmak Industries, Peshawar.	Rs.665,333.33	3 rd Lowest	M/s Razmak is the only firm who produced the requisite documents and fulfilled all their codal formalities on the basis of which the firm is declared as responsive and qualified bidder. They have presented their sample in a professional manner upto the satisfaction of the Tender Purchase Committee and came well prepared. The sample was found upto the mark by the Tender Purchase Committee.	Accepted
3.	M/s Pakistan Vehicle Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad.	Rs. 456,300.00	2 nd Lowest	M/s Pakistan Vehicle Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd., Islamabad instead of provision of documents and demo of the requisite canopies, they informed that "1x sample can be produced if vehicle is provided to them after contract is finalized based on commercial and technical offer as per PPRA rules." Thus, they have conditionalized provision of sample to FBR.	Rejected

5. Tender Purchase committee has evaluated the bidding documents in accordance with schedule "A" of the bidding documents, during evaluation, it has been noticed that **M/s Crescent Engineering, Peshawar, neither has any facilities of chromium power coating, phosphating and cadmium treatment of metal parts used in conversion,** nor has any proof of import of genuine parts. Besides, the firm has no experience of large scale supply which is mandatory for any firm to occupy large market share and good will in the same field. Furthermore, they also have no, satisfactory/performance certificate from any public sector organization which is also mandatory in accordance with eligibility criteria for qualification in the tender. Besides, M/s Pakistan Vehicle Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. Islamabad has no sample to present for which the firm was directed to provide which is also mandatory for eligibility criteria for qualification in the tender.

6. In view of above facts, Tender Purchase Committee unanimously recommends that the equipment and installation services may be procured from M/s Razmak Industries, Peshawar who have fulfilled all codal formalities and has been declared as responsive and technically qualified bidder.


 (Syed Murad Ali Shah)
 Second Secretary
 (Store/Trans.)/ Member Tender
 Purchase Committee


 (Dr. Nasir Khan)
 Chief (Admn & Finance)/
 Chairman Tender Purchase
 Committee


 (Mohsin Ihsan)
 Secretary (Admn)/ Member
 Tender Purchase Committee