

Ph: 9214461
Fax: 9220406

REGISTERED
No. C.R.P.426/2022
IN C.A.51/2020 - SCJ
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

Islamabad, dated 06-10-2023

From The Registrar,
Supreme Court of Pakistan,
Islamabad.

To

The Registrar,
Peshawar High Court,
Peshawar.

11 OCT 2023

Subject:

**CIVIL REVIEW PETITION NO. 426 OF 2022
IN
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 51 OF 2020**

M(L)

M(IR-ops)

M(P.R)

Commissioner Inland Revenue, Zone-I, RTO, Peshawar & another
Versus
Ajmal Ali Shiraz M/s Shiraz Restaurant, Peshawar

On Review of the Order/Judgment of this Court dated 03/10/2022 passed in C.A.51/2020 filed against the Order/Judgment of Peshawar High Court, Peshawar dated 25/09/2019 in T.R.29-P/2017

Dear Sir,

In continuation of this Court's letter of even number dated 7/11/2022, I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the Order/Judgment of this Court dated 27/09/2023 dismissing with cost the above cited case in the terms stated therein for information and further necessary action.

The operative part of the Order is reproduced hereunder:

"6... this review petition is dismissed with costs of ten thousand rupees; to be paid to a charity of the choice of the petitioner.

7. Copy of the order passed today be sent to the Chairman, FBR for onward circulation to all Commissioners of the Inland Revenue. We also expect that the FBR/Inland Revenue will gazette all notifications, orders etc. which may affect taxpayers and also to display them on the website of the FBR."

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order/Judgment:

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

(MUHAMMAD MUJAHID MEHMOOD)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP)
FOR REGISTRAR

FBR e-Dox No. 154138-R

Received in M (PR) Office on 12.10.23

C (PR)

Please circulate.
Also place on website
after obtaining concurrence
of the M(L)
webm

@CFMS Page 1

12/10/23
Ziaullah Khan
Chief (Public Relations)

Chief (Public Relations)
E-Dox No. 154138-R
Received on 12/10/23
Time 11:06 AM

FBR eDox No. 154138-R
Received in Chairman's Secy
on 11 OCT 2023

5

Copy with a certified copy of the Order/Judgment of this Court dated 27/09/2023 is forwarded to:

- 1. The Chairman, Federal Board of Revenue (FBR), Islamabad for information and necessary compliance.

Encl: Order/Judgment:

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP)

154138-R

100/23

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
(Review Jurisdiction)

Present:

Mr. Justice Qazi Faez Isa, CJ
Mr. Justice Amin-ud-Din Khan
Mr. Justice Athar Minallah

(AFR)

Civil Review Petition No. 426 of 2022

[For review of the order dated 03.10.2022
passed by this Court]

In

Civil Appeal No. 51 of 2020

Commissioner Inland Revenue, Zone-I,
RTO, Peshawar and another. ... **Petitioners**

Versus

Ajmal Ali Shiraz M/s Shiraz Restaurant, Peshawar. ... **Respondent**

For the Petitioners: Ms. Neelam Azra Khan, ASC.
a/w Mr. Sohail Ahmed,
Additional Commissioner (Legal), FBR.
(Through video-link from Peshawar)

For the Respondent: Not represented.

Date of Hearing: 27.09.2023.

ORDER

Qazi Faez Isa, CJ. This civil review petition assails order dated 3 October 2022 of this Court. The matter pertains to the amendment of assessment which power, under section 122 of the Income Tax Ordinance, 2001 (**“the Ordinance”**) is bestowed upon the *Commissioner*. When Civil Appeal No. 51 of 2020, order wherein is assailed herein, was heard it was contended that the order amending the assessment was passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Inland Revenue, who was not authorized to amend the assessment. At that time we were also assisted by Mr. Sohail Ahmed, Additional Commissioner, Regional Tax Office, Peshawar and neither he nor the learned ASC could produce any delegation of authority by the Commissioner of his said powers to the Deputy Commissioner despite grant of a further opportunity to do so (as noted in paragraph 3 of the order under review).

ATTESTED

Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad

2. The order of this Court is now sought to be reviewed on the ground that the Deputy Commissioner was delegated powers to amend the assessment *vide* order No. 616, dated 5 December 2009, issued by the Commissioner Inland Revenue (Audit-I), Regional Tax Office, Peshawar (**'the said order'**) mentioned at serial No. 1 of the Table contained in the said order.


3. However, the said order does not refer to section 122 of the Ordinance with regard to amendment of assessment nor is it so stated under column No. 4 of the Table pertaining to *Jurisdiction*.

4. We enquired from the learned counsel whether the said order has been gazetted and were informed that it was not. We then enquired whether that said order is available on the website of the Federal Board of Revenue (**'FBR'**) and were told that it was not. It is beyond comprehension why this has not been disclosed. Surely the reason for concealment could not be to use it in appropriate cases and suppress it in others. Nonetheless opaqueness perturbs us. Taxpayers should know who is exercising authority and whether such exercise of authority is permissible.

5. This civil review petition is a classic example of a case not properly presented. The point raised today had already been argued at the time of hearing the appeal and indulgence had also been shown to the petitioner (Commissioner Inland Revenue) to disclose the requisite authority bestowed on the Deputy Commissioner. The very same officer, who is present today, namely, Mr. Sohail Ahmed, Additional Commissioner, FBR was in attendance then too, but he did not refer to the said order. Surprisingly, a senior officer was not aware of the said order which necessitates that all notifications/orders should be gazetted and also displayed on the website of the FBR to facilitate the officers of the FBR, tax practitioners and taxpayers.

6. The said order does not delegate the statutory power of the Commissioner to Deputy Commissioners, therefore, the purported amendment made to the assessment order was not sustainable. The said order also does not grant such specific authorization. Consequently, this


WITNESSED


Counsel Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad

review petition is dismissed with costs of ten thousand rupees; to be paid to a charity of the choice of the petitioner.

7. Copy of the order passed today be sent to the Chairman, FBR for onward circulation to all Commissioners of the Inland Revenue. We also expect that the FBR/Inland Revenue will gazette all notifications, orders etc. which may affect taxpayers and also to display them on the website of the FBR.

Handwritten signatures and initials



Islamabad
27.09.2023
(M. Tauseef)

Handwritten notes:
Case
04/10/23

Approved for Reporting

Certified to be True Copy

Handwritten signature

Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad