



CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Central Board of Revenue
2) FEDERAL / PROVINCIAL GOVT. / OTHER Federal Government
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Consultancy Contract for Design, Procurement Support and Supervision of Works.
4) TENDER NUMBER C.No.1(34)/SS(PTR)/2004.
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Preparation of Design Layout, Procurement Support and Supervision of works at sites for establishment of Model Customs Collectorate(MCCs),Taxpayers Facilitation Centres(TFCs) and Transit Accommodation (T.A).
6) NEED ANALYSIS (Why the procurement was necessary?) Under Tax Administration Reform Project the Board plans to improve infrastructure of its Buildings for Model Offices therefore for preparation of design layout, procurement support & supervision of works at sites, consultancy services were required
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs:70 million
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) NIL
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 24 months
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) Technical Proposal opened on 5-12-2005 Financial Proposal opened on 6-2-2006
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) Request for Proposal issued to five short-listed Firms.
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Two Firms submitted their Technical Proposals.
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 02
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. NES Pak(Pvt) Ltd,Head Office, Model Town Extension, Lahore.
16) DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING (Attach a copy of agreement) 31-07-2006.
17) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs:71 million.
18) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). Ist lowest as per combined evaluation of Technical/Financial Proposals.
19) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a. SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

[Empty box]

[Box containing the number 1]

(c) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

No

20) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT World Bank

22) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

i) ADVERTISEMENT :

ii) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)
(If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number)

Yes	Request sent to PPRA on 27-10-2004 for placement of EOI on the Website.
No	

iii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Dawn & Jang dated 28-10-2004
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	✓	Int.	
-------	---	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

26) WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

29) WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

30) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

The unsuccessful consulting firm was informed

33) WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF PPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	This is a World Bank funded Project which allowed negotiations in the case of Consultancy Services.
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

• ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

• ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

• WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

• DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

• WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

• WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

• WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

• SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	Special Conditions are stipulated in the Contract Documents. Copy Attached.
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

(Signature)
HAFIZ SHAHID ABBASI
Second Secretary No
Central Board of Revenue
Islamabad

FOR NAB'S OFFICE USE ONLY

**CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR DESIGN, PROCUREMENT
SUPPORT AND SUPERISION OF WORKS AT SITES**

LIST OF CONSULTING FIRMS TO WHOM RFPs WERE ISSUED

<u>S.NO.</u>	<u>NAME OF CONSULTANCY FIRMS.</u>
1.	M/s. NESPAK Private Limited, Lahore.
2.	M/s. Allied Engineering, Lahore.
3.	M/s. ACE, Lahore.
4.	M/s. Naqvi Siddiqui Associates, Karachi.
5.	M/s. International Design Group, Karachi.